

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| | |
|--|--|
| Application of Southern California Edison Company (E 3338-E) for Authority to Institute a Rate Stabilization Plan with a Rate Increase and End of Rate Freeze Tariffs. | Application 00-11-038 (Filed November 16, 2000) |
| Emergency Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Adopt a Rate Stabilization Plan. (U 39 E) | Application 00-11-056 (Filed November 22, 2000) |
| Petition of THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK for Modification of Resolution E-3527. | Application 00-10-028 (Filed October 17, 2000) |

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
REGARDING PRESENTATION SEQUENCE FOR
ORAL ARGUMENTS ON REVENUE ALLOCATION ISSUES**

As previously noticed in the Commission's Daily Calendar, presentation of oral arguments before the Commission has been scheduled for December 11, 2001 from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. in the Department of Water Resources (DWR) revenue allocation phase of this proceeding. This ruling sets forth scheduling information relating to the presentation sequence of the oral arguments. For purposes of allotting time for parties' oral arguments, the sequence of presentation will be divided into two general groups. These two groups shall be comprised of: (1) parties advocating a form of pro rata allocation based on the utilities' relative net short positions, without geographically-based cost differences; and (2) parties advocating a form of allocation adjusting for geographically-based cost differences.

The active parties in the first group include Pacific Gas and Electric, The Utility Reform Network, Aglet Consumer Alliance, and California Large Energy Consumers Association. The active parties in the second group include Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company. Parties in the first group shall proceed first in making their presentation. Parties in the second group shall proceed second. Since the Commission's Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) proposed an allocation using an average of the two approaches, the presentation by ORA shall be sequenced after the other parties in the two groups have concluded.

After the direct oral arguments have been concluded, there will be a 15-minute recess. Parties will then be permitted an opportunity to present rebuttal arguments in response to opposing parties' direct presentation. Parties should be prepared to respond to any questions that the Commissioners may ask intermittently during the presentations.

An equal block of time will be allotted to each of the two groups for presentation of their positions. Within the aggregate block of time, it will be the responsibility of the individual parties to determine among themselves which parties will present spokespersons, in which order, and how long each spokesperson will speak. Parties representing each group are asked to stay within their allotted time so that all participants will have a fair opportunity to make their presentation.

Accordingly, the presentation schedule shall be as follows:

| Order of Presentation | Allotted Time |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Welcome/ Opening Remarks | 2:00 - 2:05 p.m. |
| Group 1 Presentation | 2:05 - 2:30 p.m. |
| Group 2 Presentation | 2:30 - 2:55 p.m. |
| ORA | 2:55 - 3:05 p.m. |
| 15 min recess | 3:05 - 3:20 p.m. |
| Group 1 Rebuttal | 3:20 - 3:35 p.m. |
| Group 2 Rebuttal | 3:35 - 3:50 p.m. |
| ORA | 3:50 - 3:55 p.m. |
| Concluding Remarks | 3:55 - 4:00 p.m. |

IT IS RULED that the order of presentation and time allotments as set forth above are hereby adopted for the oral arguments scheduled for December 11, 2001.

Dated December 7, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ THOMAS R. PULSIFER

Thomas R. Pulsifer
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail, and by electronic mail to the parties to which an electronic mail address has been provided, this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Regarding Presentation Sequence for Oral Arguments on Revenue Allocation Issues on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated December 7, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JEANNIE CHANG
Jeannie Chang

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.